

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,402	10/29/2003	Ahmad Akashe	77016	7259	
48940 75	90 12/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY			WEIER, ANTHONY J		
120 S. LASALI	LE STREET		1 DT 1 DUT	DADED MUDADED	
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		1761		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			w		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/697,402	AKASHE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anthony Weier	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  (a), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	<u> October 2005</u> .				
)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 24-32 is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, species1B (acidic pH treatment) in the reply filed on 10/18/05 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clear as to what is encompassed by the term "whey". In other words, does this term only consider cow milk derived components or does this also refer to whey derived from, for example, in processing soy milk products. For example, Lawhon et al (U.S. Patent No. 4332719) refers to oilseed related extract as whey (see Abstract).

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422

Application/Control Number: 10/697,402

Art Unit: 1761

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 and 3-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 11/209105; claims 1 and 3-8 of copending Application No. 10/755210; claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 10/655259; claims 12-22 and 24-28 of copending Application No. 10/655478; claims 11-20 of copending Application No. 10/696284; and claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/941578.

The instant claims are generic to or fully encompass the claims of the copending applications. In particular, the claims of the copending applications recite processes which are more specific than that of the instant invention but well within the scope of same. Specifically, the claims of 11/209105 recite a process of deflavoring whey protein as set forth in the instant claims and further including a step of adjusting the pH of the deflavored composition after treating same to an ultrafiltration treatment. The claims of 10/655478 differ in that they include the extra steps in preparing a beverage with the treated soy protein material. However, there are other uses for soy protein material such as inclusion in ice cream or fermentation into a yogurt. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have removed the steps of beverage preparation in the claims of 10/655478 to provide a

Application/Control Number: 10/697,402

Art Unit: 1761

product readily available for more options of use. The claims of 10/696284 further include extra steps of fermenting the treated soy protein material. However, it is well known that there are other uses for soy protein material, such as inclusion in ice cream, for example. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to have removed the steps of fermenting in the claims of 10/696284 to provide a product readily available for more options in using same. The claims of 10/755210, 10/941,578, and 10/655259 are further limited to soy-derived protein material (which is within the scope of the generic "whey" term of the instant claims).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 1 and 3-23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6787173.

The instant claims are generic to or fully encompass the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6787173. In particular, the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6787173 recite a process which is more specific than that of the instant invention but well within the scope of same. Specifically, the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6787173 are further limited to soy-derived protein material (which is within the scope of the generic "whey" term of the instant claims). In addition, the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6787173 further include steps directed to recycling and further recovery of elements. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

removed the recycling and recovery steps to provide for an, albeit, less efficient,

process as a matter of preference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-

1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Anthony Weier** December 22, 2005 **Anthony Weier Primary Examiner**  Page 5

Art Unit 1761